

**January 1, 2000**

**Through**

**June 30, 2000**

## PERIOD OF JANUARY 1, 2000 – JUNE 30, 2000

[illegible]

**July 1, 2000**  
**Through**  
**December 31, 2000**

---

**CITY OF BALTIMORE**

MARTIN O'MALLEY, Mayor

(410) 361-9067



**CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD**

Suite 915, Equitable Building  
10 N. Calvert Street  
Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Fax No. (410) 576-9385

---

August 9, 2001

**TO: ALL CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD MEMBERS**  
**FROM: SECRETARY, CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD OF BALTIMORE CITY**  
**RE: SEMI-ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORT**

In the six-month period from July 1, 2000 through December 31, 2000, the Civilian Review Board reviewed 12 completed complaint investigations by the Internal Affairs Division of the Baltimore Police Department, consisting of 7 allegations of excessive force and 15 allegations of abusive language for a total of 22 allegations. Of the 12 complaints reviewed by the Civilian Review Board during this six-month period, none was actually filed during this period. As of December 31, 2000, 37 complaints were filed with the Civilian Review Board during this six-month period. Of these 37 complaints, the Civilian Review Board requested its own independent investigation be done on eleven complaints.

Of the 22 allegations reviewed by the Civilian Review Board, the Internal Affairs Division of the Baltimore Police Department found that 6 should be "sustained", 9 "not sustained", and 5 "unfounded", and 2 "exonerated".

Of the 7 allegations of excessive force, the Civilian Review Board affirmed the findings of Internal Affairs on 5 allegations. In the other two, IAD found the allegations to be unfounded, the Civilian Review Board rendered a "not sustained" finding.



Of the 15 allegations of abusive language, the Internal Affairs Division "sustained" 4, "not sustained" 8, and rendered 3 "unfounded". The Civilian Review Board affirmed the findings of IAD in 12 of the allegations. On the 3 unfounded findings of IAD, the Civilian Review Board found "not sustained".

The figures show that the Civilian Review Board affirmed the IAD findings on approximately 75% of the allegations reviewed. These statistics are the result of the Civilian Review Board's review of the facts and circumstances involved in each complaint, including a thorough examination of all evidence contained in the Internal Affairs Division investigative file. The Board reached its findings and recommendations by majority vote.

During this period, two complaints were filed against the Housing Authority of Baltimore City.

Completed investigations by CRB investigators are held until completed investigations by IAD are forwarded, so that Board members may have the benefit of analyzing both investigations.

The attached chart reflects the statistical summary for the period of October 1, 2000 through December 31, 2000, reflective of the date the Board began using a more comprehensive complaint form.

**CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD STATISTICAL SUMMARY  
FOR COMPLAINTS REVIEWED  
PERIOD OF JULY 1, 2000 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2000**

No. of Completed Complaints Reviewed	Total Excessive Force Allegations	Total Abusive Language Allegations	Total Harassment Allegations	IAD Findings				CRB Findings		
				Sustained	Not Sustained	Unfounded	Exonerated	Sustained	Not Sustained	Exonerate
12	7	15	0	2 EF 4 AL	1 EF 8 AL	2 EF 3 AL	2 EF	2 EF 3 AL	3 EF 12 AL	2 EF

# **Special Addendum**

**October 1, 2000**

**Through**

**December 31, 2000**

**(reflecting additional data  
resulting from revised complaint  
form on complaints filed)**

# CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD

### Statistical Data of Complaints filed


**OCTOBER 1, 2000 through DECEMBER 31, 2000**

**(single complaints may contain multiple allegations)**


[illegible]



# **Complaint Summary Information on Allegations Filed Between October 1, 2000 and December 31, 2000**




8 Black, 23 White,  
3 Unknown




30 Male, 1 Female, 3 Unknown

Eastern – 7 complaints  
Central – 3 complaints  
Western – 6 complaints  
Northern – 1 complaint  
Northeastern – 0 complaints  
Northwestern – 3 complaints  
Southern – 5 complaints  
Southeastern – 2 complaints  
Southwestern – 4 complaints  
Unknown – 3 complaints



## **EXCESSIVE FORCE Allegations October 1, 2000 through December 31, 2000**

Of 15 Excessive Force allegations filed,  
The Complainants' race was as follows:



Black – 13 Complainants

White – 2 Complainants


### **Of 15 Excessive Force Allegations:**

- 9 were Black complainants filing against a White officer
- 2 were Black complainants filing against a Black officer
- 1 was a White complainant filing against a White officer
- 1 was a White complainant filing against an unknown race
- 2 were Black complainants filing against an unknown race

# **ABUSIVE LANGUAGE ALLEGATIONS**

## **On complaints filed between OCTOBER 1, 2000 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2000**

**Of 14 Abusive Language allegations filed,  
The Complainants' race was as follows:**



**Black – 11 Complainants**


**White – 4 Complainants**

**Of 14 Abusive Language Allegations:**

- 6 were Black Complainants filing against a White Officer
- 4 were Black Complainants filing against a Black Officer
- 1 was a White Complainant filing against a Black Officer
- 2 were White Complainants filing against a White Officer
- 1 was a Black Complainant filing against an unknown Officer

# **HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS OCTOBER 1, 2000 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2000**

Of 5 Harassment allegations filed,  
The Complainants' race was as follows:



Black – 5 Complainants  
White – 0 Complainants

## Of 5 Harassment Allegations:

4 were of Black Complainants filing against a White officer  
1 was of a Black Complainant filing against a Black officer